SECURE RURAL SCHOOLS AND COMMUNITY SELF-DETERMINATION ACT OF 2000 PUBLIC LAW 1 10-343 TITLE II PROJECT SUBMISSION FORM USDA FOREST SERVICE

Name of Resource Advisory Committee:

Project Number (Assigned by Designated Federal Official):

Funding Fiscal Year(s): FY2014

| 2. Project Name: West Fork Humptulips River access | 3a. State: WA 3b. County(s): Grays Harbor | |
|--|---|--|
| 4. Project Submitted By: Jonathan Hanson | 5. Date: 3/27/14 | |
| 6. Contact Phone Number: 360-374-1236 | 7. Contact E-mail: jrhanson@fs.fed.us | |

| 8. Project Location: End of forest road 2203040, West Fork Humptulips River. | | |
|--|---|--|
| a. National Forest(s): Olympic National Forest | b. Forest Service District: Pacific Ranger District | |
| c. Location (Township-Range-Section) T22N R9W S34sw | | |

9. Project Goals and Objectives: Project goals are to provide a suitable parking and turnaround area for vehicles while protecting coho and steelhead.

Objectives include halting vehicles from driving through water where steelhead and coho are found and to construct a suitable parking area and turnaround for recreational use.

10. Project Description:

- a. Brief: Block unauthorized motor vehicle access passing through a small stream to the river bar, and construct a parking and turnaround area for vehicles.
- b. Detailed: At the end of the 2203040 road vehicles have pioneered a road through a small stream draining a wetland and out onto a gravel bar of the West Fork Humptulips River. During summer low flows vehicles drive on gravel bars adjacent to the river and cross the river in a number of locations. Within the small stream juvenile coho and steelhead have been observed for each of the last three years. This project will block motorized vehicles from driving through the stream and onto the gravel bar. Creating a suitable turnaround and parking area prior to the stream crossing will rectify the issues created by driving over fish. Recreational river access will be maintained, with users able to walk in approximately 200' to reach the river bank on the existing pioneered road. The action would improve conditions for fish and other riparian dependent species in the area.

Management activities within the last 3 years on the 2203 access road have included replacing a crossing on Rainbow Creek to improve fish passage and ensure public access to the undeveloped recreation site at the end of the 2203040. Future options to enhance the wetland and stream for coho rearing are being explored in tandem with educational signs and modest recreational amenities for the site. The location is a highly valued site for both recreational use and for fish and wildlife. In the spring adult steelhead have been observed spawning in the West Fork Humptulips at this location, and

Version: January 2009

juvenile coho and steelhead use the small tributary stream as a cold water refuge in the summer. The West Fork Humptulips River provides a valuable corridor for wildlife. There is a bald eagle nest nearby making eagles a common site and sound during the summer; additionally murrelets, elk, and deer use the WF Humptulips through this area. Protecting and improving valuable habitat while providing recreational opportunities makes for a worthy project. The first phase of this project is to stop vehicles from driving over salmon and construct a suitable parking area.

| 11. Types of Lands Involved? | | |
|--|---|--|
| State/Private/Other lands involved? Yes No Land Status: | | |
| If Yes, specify: | | |
| | | |
| 12. How does the proposed project meet purpos | es of the Legislation? (Check at least 1) | |
| ☐ Improves maintenance of existing infrastructure. | | |
| Implements stewardship objectives that enhance for | est ecosystems. | |
| Restores and improves land health. | | |
| Restores water quality | | |
| | | |
| 13. Project Type a. Check all that apply: (check at least 1) | | |
| Road Maintenance | ☐ Trail Maintenance | |
| ☐ Road Decommission/Obliteration | ☐ Trail Obliteration | |
| Other Infrastructure Maintenance (specify): | | |
| Soil Productivity Improvement | ☐ Forest Health Improvement | |
| | Wildlife Habitat Restoration ■ | |
| ☐ Fish Habitat Restoration | Control of Noxious Weeds | |
| Reestablish Native Species | ☐ Fuels Management/Fire Prevention | |
| ☐ Implement CWPP Project | Other Project Type (specify): | |
| b. Primary Purpose (select only 1): Fish Habitat Restoration | | |
| 14. Identify What the Project Will Accomplish | | |
| Miles of road maintained: | | |
| Miles of road decommissioned/obliterated: 0.02 miles (100') Blocked access | | |
| Number of structures maintained/improved: | | |
| Acres of soil productivity improved: 0.03 | | |
| Miles of stream/river restored/improved: 0.1 | | |

| Miles of fish habitat restored/improved: 0.1 | | |
|---|--|--|
| Acres of native species reestablished: 0 | | |
| Miles of trail maintained: 0 | | |
| Miles of trial obliterated: 0 | | |
| Acres of forest health improved (including fuels reduction): 0 | | |
| Acres of rangeland improved: 0 | | |
| Acres of wildlife habitat restored/improved: 0 | | |
| Acres of noxious weeds controlled: 0 | | |
| Timber volume generated: 0 | | |
| Jobs generated in full time equivalents (FTE) to nearest tenth. One FTE is 52 forty hour weeks: 0.1 | | |
| People reached (for environmental education projects/fire prevention): | | |
| Direct economic activity benefit: \$12,000 | | |
| Other: | | |
| 15. Estimated Project Start Date: 9/8/14 | 16. Estimated Project Completion Date: 9/15/14 | |
| 13. Estimated Floject Start Date. 7/0/14 | 10. Estimated Froject Completion Date. 7/13/14 | |

17. List known partnerships or collaborative opportunities.

Pacific Coast Salmon Coalition is interested in enhancing the wetland and small stream for coho. Other opportunities for future phases of the project include RCO grants, and Salmon Recovery Funding Board dollars.

18. Identify benefits to communities.

The project will benefit the surrounding community by providing jobs during project implementation. Project will protect the fisheries resource which is important to the local economy, and continue to provide access to an undeveloped recreational area.

19. How does the project benefit federal lands/resources?

This project will help restore fish habitat and provide long term site stabilization.

| 20. What is the Proposed Method(s) of Accomplishment? (check at least 1) | | | |
|--|----------------------|--|--|
| | | | |
| County Workforce | Volunteers | | |
| Grant | Agreement | | |
| Americorps | ☐ YCC/CCC Crews | | |
| ☐ Job Corps | Stewardship Contract | | |
| Merchantable Timber Pilot | Other (specify): | | |

| 21. Will the Project Generate Merchantable Materials? Yes No | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| 22. Anticipated Project Costs | | | | |
| a. Title II Funds Requested: \$12,000 | | | | |
| b. Is this a multi-year funding request? Yes No | | | | |
| 23. Identify Source(s) of Other Funding: Project oversight, design, and monitoring will be covered Future improvements for recreation and aquatic resource Recovery Funding board dollars. 24. Monitoring Plan (provide as attachment) | | | | |
| a. Provide a plan that describes your process for tra- on your environmental and community goals out | | | | |
| Monitoring would include multiple trips through parking/turnaround area is sufficient for the curre vehicular access through the stream is effective. | | | | |
| b. Identify who will conduct the monitoring: | | | | |
| The FS fisheries biologist and opportunistic site maintenance, and law enforcement personnel. | visits by Forest Service recreation, road | | | |
| c. Identify total funding needed to carry out specified monitoring tasks (Worksheet 1, Item k): The FS will provide funding for monitoring observations. | | | | |
| 25. Identify remedies for failure to comply with the tends of the completed under the terms of this ag ☐ Unused funds will be returned to the RAC account. ☐ Other, please explain: | C | | | |
| Project Recommended By: /s/ (INSERT Signature) | Project Approved By: /s/ (INSERT Signature) | | | |
| Chairperson | Forest Supervisor | | | |
| Resource Advisory Committee | National Forest | | | |

Project Cost Analysis Worksheet

Worksheet 1

Please submit this worksheet with your proposal

| Item | Column A Fed. Agency Appropriated Contribution | Column B Requested Title II Contribution | Column C Other Contributions | Column D Total Available Funds |
|----------------------------------|--|--|------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| a. Field Work & Site Surveys | \$1500 | | | \$1500 |
| b. NEPA/CEQA | | | | |
| c. ESA Consultation | | | | |
| d. Permit Acquisition | | | | |
| e. Project Design & Engineering | \$1200 | | | \$1200 |
| f. Contract/Grant Preparation | | | | |
| g. Contract/Grant Administration | | | | |
| h. Contract/Grant Cost | | \$12,000 | | \$12000 |
| i. Salaries | | | | |
| j. Materials & Supplies | | | | |
| k. Monitoring | \$300 | | | \$300 |
| 1. Other | | | | |
| m. Project Sub-Total | \$3000 | \$12000 | | \$15000 |
| n. Indirect Costs | | | | |
| o. Total Cost Estimate | \$3000 | \$12,000 | | \$15000 |

NOTES:

- a. Pre-NEPA Costs
- g. Includes Contracting/Grant Officer Representative (COR) costs. Excludes Contracting/Grant Officer costs.
- i. Cost of implementing project
- 1. Examples include overhead charges from other partners, vehicles, equipment rentals, travel, etc.
- n. Contracting/Grant Officer costs, if needed, are included as part of Indirect Costs.

Upstream view of tributary and road proposed to be blocked to vehicles.



Downstream view of tributary and road proposed to be blocked to vehicles. West Fork Humptulips River is in the background.

